

Policies for On-Street Parking Management

August | 2012 | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Why?

- Articulate and clarify past practice
- Transparency and consistency
- Ensure practice aligned with the SFMTA's overall mission
- Facilitate dialogue among stakeholders
- Guide for the public on how, when, and where parking management tools are implemented

What?

- Codify existing SFMTA practices
- Summarize <u>where</u> and <u>when</u> the SFMTA uses parking management tools on a blockface or frontage
- Lay out the principles that guide SFMTA parking management
- Serve as a guideline for future parking management decisions

FMTA Municipal Transportation Agency

Process

- Public request for clarification
- GIS analysis
 - Zoning as guideline
 - Highlight patterns, exceptions, and gaps
- Submitted for external review
- Groundwork for review
 - Improvements in implementation of meter policy
 - Revision of RPP policy

Principles

- Limited right of way should be well used
- Parking availability is critical
- Encourage travel by sustainable modes of transportation
- Promote access to commercial areas
- Improve quality of life in residential areas
- Reduce emissions and pollutants
- Generate revenue for transportation needs
- Create a consistent, understandable, and transparent framework for parking management decision-making



Parking management tools

- Parking meters
 - Attach payment to on-street parking
 - Assist economic vitality and community access
 - Manage excess demand
- Residential parking permits (RPP)
 - Purpose
 - Criteria for consideration of eligibility
 - Administrative oversight
- Posted time limits and color curb regulations

Meter policy overview

- Areas appropriate for metering
 - Commercial areas
 - Public facilities, parks, recreational facilities, and open spaces
 - Major transportation corridors
 - High-density residential buildings

Areas not appropriate for metering

- Primarily residential areas
- Low parking demand
- Posted time limits
- Other areas, including mixed use (considerations in making decision)
 - Occupancy
 - Zoning and land use
 - Community outreach
 - Trip generators, adjacency, continuity

RPP: existing practices

- Implemented (1976) to discourage commuters and visitors from parking long-term in residential areas
- Not intended to ensure adequate parking supply
- Current considerations
 - Zoning and land use
 - Non-resident parking burden
 - Community input (major driver for RPP)
 - Other factors (e.g. development)
- State Legislation limits RPP to cost recovery
- RPP will be undergoing full review and new RPP policies will be developed

Next steps

- Goes to SFMTA Board to be adopted
- In place as guideline for staff in making parking management decisions
- RPP will be undergoing full review and new RPP policies will be developed
- Facilitates inter-departmental dialogue and public outreach process
- Goal is less politicized, more fact-based parking management decisions