## A1 On-time performance

GOAL: >85\%
Purpose: To measure schedule adherence.
Definition: Each line is checked at least once in each six month period. Such checks are conducted no less often than 10 weekdays and weekends per period. An annual checking schedule is established for the routes. The order in which the routes are checked is determined monthly through a random selection process. To the extent automated systems can be substituted at less cost for such checks, or the measurement of any performance standard, such systems will be used.
Method: Check the designated lines using criteria of $-1 /+4$ minutes. Periods of time includes morning rush ( 6 am- 9 am), midday ( 9 am - 4 pm ), evening rush ( 4 pm -
7 pm ), and night ( $7 \mathrm{pm}-1 \mathrm{am}$ ). Supervisors conduct a one-hour check at a point at mid-route during all four time periods stated above.

## SYSTEMWIDE

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 70\% | 75\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 66.9\% | 70.1\% | 67.3\% | 70.9\% | 71.3\% | 68.7\% | 70.8\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 70.9\% | 70.7\% | 68.0\% | 72.8\% | 66.2\% | 70.4\% | 69.2\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 69.5\% | 70.0\% | 71.5\% | 69.6\% | 69.2\% | 73.5\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 71.9\% | 70.9\% | 68.3\% | 70.7\% | 69.5\% | 71.3\% |  |
| Annual average | 69.9\% | 70.4\% | 68.8\% | 71.0\% | 69.2\% | 70.8\% |  |

## LRV

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $59.8 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |  |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | $65.1 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | $67.7 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | $67.8 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{6 5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |


|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 70\% | 75\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 68.7\% | 69.9\% | 66.0\% | 66.4\% | 67.3\% | 70.6\% | 71.0\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 67.0\% | 72.6\% | 68.7\% | 69.3\% | 72.5\% | 73.9\% | 70.8\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | N/A | 68.2\% | 68.5\% | 74.8\% | 72.4\% | 69.7\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 70.9\% | 67.0\% | 65.3\% | 70.0\% | 68.5\% | 65.0\% |  |
| Annual average | 69.1\% | 69.1\% | 66.5\% | 69.1\% | 68.9\% | 69.3\% |  |
| TROLLEY COACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 70\% | 75\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 71.6\% | 73.1\% | 68.8\% | 72.9\% | 73.0\% | 71.5\% | 73.5\% |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 76.1\% | 74.6\% | 71.4\% | 74.2\% | 67.9\% | 73.8\% | 74.8\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 71.3\% | 74.6\% | 75.8\% | 68.4\% | 74.0\% | 76.5\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 76.1\% | 73.5\% | 71.0\% | 74.7\% | 72.3\% | 74.3\% |  |
| Annual average | 73.9\% | 74.0\% | 71.9\% | 72.8\% | 72.2\% | 73.9\% |  |

## MOTOR COACH

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 70\% | 75\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 65.1\% | 70.4\% | 69.4\% | 69.8\% | 69.0\% | 66.6\% | 69.1\% |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 69.4\% | 68.8\% | 65.9\% | 72.0\% | 64.7\% | 67.9\% | 65.6\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 68.6\% | 67.2\% | 70.3\% | 69.7\% | 65.6\% | 71.6\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 69.9\% | 71.3\% | 69.6\% | 67.6\% | 68.2\% | 69.4\% |  |
| Annual average | 68.4\% | 69.5\% | 68.8\% | 69.7\% | 67.0\% | 68.7\% |  |

## A1 Scheduled headway adherence

GOAL: $>85 \%$ of runs within lesser of $30 \% / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ of scheduled headway
Purpose: To measure scheduled headways against actual headways.
Definition: Actual headways are compared with scheduled headways on all radial, express, cross-town, secondary, and feeder lines during all time periods. Each line is checked twice a year. Checks are conducted no less often than 10 weekdays and weekends per period. An annual checking schedule is established for the routes. The order in which the routes are checked is determined monthly through a random selection process. To the extent automated systems can be substituted at less cost for such checks, or the measurement of any performance standard, such systems will be used.

Method: Check the headways of designated lines. Periods of time include morning rush (6am-9am), midday (9am-4pm), evening rush (4pm-7pm), and night (7pm$1 \mathrm{am})$. Supervisors conduct a one-hour standard check at a maximum load point at mid-route during all four time periods stated above.

| SYSTEMWIDE (FY02-F08) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 66.7\% | 68.8\% | 73.1\% | 69.8\% | 64.1\% | 58.9\% | 63.7\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 67.8\% | 69.2\% | 64.1\% | 69.3\% | 55.7\% | 61.8\% | 63.2\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 69.5\% | 67.1\% | 68.1\% | 71.9\% | 59.9\% | 58.8\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 72.1\% | 74.8\% | 69.2\% | 66.4\% | 62.7\% | 63.0\% |  |
| Annual average | 69.0\% | 72.1\% | 68.2\% | 69.5\% | 59.8\% | 60.5\% |  |


|  | LRV | Cable Car | Trolley Coach | Motor Coach |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
| Q3 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | $59.5 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ |  |
| Q4 FY07 (Apr-Jun 07) | $53.9 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ |  |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | $60.8 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | $55.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ |  |

A1 On-time performance and headway adherence by line/route
GOAL: >85\%

|  | OTP O3 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | OTP 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | OTP 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | OTP 02 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | OTP <br> 6 Year Avg <br> FY02-07 | Headway 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | Headway O4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Headway 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Headway O2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measure | \% ON-TIME PERFORMANCE (OTP) |  |  |  |  | \% HEADWAY ADHERENCE |  |  |  |
| Light Rail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F Market \& Wharves |  | 77.0\% |  | 62.4\% | 66.5\% |  | 52.7\% |  | 38.6\% |
| J Church | 71.4\% |  |  | 67.1\% | 60.8\% | 42.4\% |  |  | 74.2\% |
| K Ingleside / T Third* | 80.6\% |  | 75.3\%* |  | 72.1\% | 75.9\% |  | 75.4\%* |  |
| L Taraval |  | 74.3\% |  | 79.3\% | 73.3\% |  | 60.0\% |  | 65.8\% |
| M Oceanview | 71.3\% |  | 59.3\% |  | 65.3\% | 61.1\% |  | 61.7\% |  |
| N Judah |  | 71.8\% | 68.7\% |  | 70.1\% |  | 88.1\% | 50.0\% |  |


|  | OTP 03 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | OTP 04 FY07 Apr-Jun 07 | OTP 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | OTP 02 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 | OTP <br> 6 Year Avg FY02-07 | Headway 03 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | Headway O4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Headway 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Headway 02 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cable Car |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 Powell-Mason | 69.7\% |  |  | 67.5\% | 69.3\% | 55.3\% |  |  | 65.1\% |
| 60 Powell-Hyde |  | 59.8\% |  | 74.1\% | 68.4\% |  | 92.2\% |  | 57.7\% |
| 61 California St |  | 68.2\% | 71.0\% |  | 69.4\% |  | 49.8\% | 55.0\% |  |
| Trolley Coach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 California |  | 83.8\% | 83.3\% |  | 78.9\% |  | 33.3\% | 58.6\% |  |
| 3 Jackson | 74.3\% |  | 73.8\% |  | 71.6\% | 75.0\% |  | 86.1\% |  |
| 4 Sutter | 85.7\% |  |  | 88.0\% | 74.0\% | 94.4\% |  |  | 88.2\% |
| 5 Fulton | 78.3\% |  |  | 81.0\% | 75.2\% | 56.6\% |  |  | 40.2\% |
| 6 Parnassus |  | 80.2\% |  | 75.2\% | 73.0\% |  | 74.8\% |  | 72.0\% |
| 7 Haight | 55.6\% |  |  | 51.4\% | 73.0\% | 62.5\% |  |  | 66.7\% |
| 14 Mission |  | 72.2\% | 77.4\% |  | 71.6\% |  | 42.4\% | 51.9\% |  |
| 20 Columbus |  |  |  | 70.8\% | N/A |  |  |  | 57.1\% |
| 21 Hayes |  | 70.2\% |  | 73.7\% | 69.3\% |  | 82.9\% |  | 71.9\% |
| Trolley Coach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 Fillmore |  | 73.3\% | 72.9\% |  | 71.7\% |  | 45.2\% | 46.6\% |  |
| 24 Divisadero |  | 69.6\% |  |  | 69.7\% |  | 76.8\% | 59.8\% |  |
| 30 Stockton | 77.7\% |  |  | 78.2\% | 76.2\% | 38.7\% |  |  | 42.7\% |
| 31 Balboa |  | 75.3\% | 70.5\% |  | 66.6\% |  | 78.3\% | 66.1\% |  |
| 33 Stanyan |  | 64.8\% | 66.1\% |  | 65.0\% |  | 67.2\% | 67.9\% |  |
| 41 Union | 77.6\% |  |  | 76.7\% | 78.9\% | 45.2\% |  |  | 51.4\% |
| 45 Union-Stockton | 70.5\% |  |  | 65.3\% | 72.9\% | 65.7\% |  |  | 63.8\% |
| 49 Van Ness-Mission | 73.3\% |  | 68.5\% |  | 71.0\% | 65.9\% |  | 44.5\% |  |
| Motor Coach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1AX California 'A' Exp |  | 38.1\% | 86.7\% |  | 61.9\% |  | 68.4\% | 85.2\% |  |
| 1BX California 'B' Exp | 82.1\% |  | 79.3\% |  | 77.8\% | 56.3\% |  | 46.5\% |  |
| 2 Clement | 68.2\% |  |  | 61.6\% | 69.5\% | 78.3\% |  |  | 70.5\% |
| 9 San Bruno | 73.0\% |  | 67.9\% |  | 71.2\% | 62.1\% |  | 60.2\% |  |
| 9AX San Bruno 'A' Exp |  | 76.9\% | 50.0\% |  | 56.2\% |  | 81.8\% | 46.2\% |  |
| 9BX San Bruno 'B' Exp | 79.2\% |  | 57.9\% |  | 66.5\% | 72.7\% |  | 54.3\% |  |
| 9X San Bruno Exp |  | 60.3\% | 57.3\% |  | 63.1\% |  | 61.8\% | 60.9\% |  |
| 10 Townsend |  |  | 73.3\% |  | 65.5\% |  |  | 81.2\% |  |
| 12 Folsom |  | 66.8\% | 56.8\% |  | 67.0\% |  | 65.9\% | 72.3\% |  |
| 14L Mission Limited |  | 77.4\% | 73.7\% |  | 72.8\% |  | 76.6\% | 76.5\% |  |
| 14X Mission Exp |  | 78.1\% |  | 69.6\% | 78.1\% |  | 62.1\% |  | 66.7\% |


|  | OTP 03 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | OTP 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | OTP 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | OTP Q2 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 | OTP <br> 6 Year Avg <br> FY02-07 | Headway O3 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | Headway Q4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Headway 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Headway O2 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16AX Noriega 'A' Exp |  | 66.7\% |  | 65.2\% | 69.3\% |  | 70.9\% |  | 66.7\% |
| 16BX Noriega 'B' Exp |  | 77.3\% | 44.4\% |  | 66.0\% |  | 62.5\% | 50.0\% |  |
| 17 Park Merced |  | 60.8\% | 65.4\% |  | 58.2\% |  | 91.1\% | 88.6\% |  |
| 18 46th Av |  | 83.9\% | 87.0\% |  | 77.9\% |  | 91.1\% | 88.4\% |  |
| 19 Polk |  | 71.0\% |  | 66.4\% | 64.4\% |  | 65.1\% |  | 77.8\% |
| 23 Monterey |  | 68.6\% |  | 78.3\% | 68.0\% |  | 83.9\% |  | 93.3\% |
| 26 Valencia |  | 58.1\% |  | 54.2\% | 63.7\% |  | 84.5\% |  | 88.2\% |
| 27 Bryant |  | 74.6\% | 71.4\% |  | 68.6\% |  | 80.3\% | 65.7\% |  |
| 28 19th Av | 52.4\% |  | 61.0\% |  | 66.1\% | 47.4\% |  | 60.3\% |  |
| 28L 19th Av Limited |  |  | 92.3\% |  | 72.4\% |  |  | 77.3\% |  |
| 29 Sunset |  | 59.8\% | 60.0\% |  | 57.4\% |  | 59.5\% | 51.5\% |  |
| Motor Coach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30X Marina Exp | 66.7\% |  |  | 84.1\% | 74.2\% | 64.7\% |  |  | 65.2\% |
| 31AX Balboa 'A' Exp | 54.9\% |  | 73.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31BX Balboa 'B' Exp |  |  | 48.3\% |  | 69.7\% |  |  | 57.7\% |  |
| 35 Eureka | 95.2\% |  |  | 60.0\% | 77.9\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 85.7\% |
| 36 Teresita | 64.3\% |  |  | 60.7\% | 60.9\% | 75.0\% |  |  | 90.4\% |
| 37 Corbett | 76.5\% |  | 78.6\% |  | 77.6\% | 86.7\% |  | 89.9\% |  |
| 38 Geary |  | 77.2\% |  | 73.4\% | 73.8\% |  | 55.0\% |  | 49.4\% |
| 38AX Geary 'A' Exp | 78.8\% |  |  | 85.3\% | 65.5\% | 80.0\% |  |  | 83.9\% |
| 38BX Geary 'B' Exp | 75.0\% |  |  | 73.9\% | 68.3\% | 45.5\% |  |  | 69.0\% |
| 38L Geary Limited |  | 74.6\% | 69.9\% |  | 76.7\% |  | 54.5\% | 55.6\% |  |
| 39 Coit | 39.1\% |  |  | 55.6\% | 56.8\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 86.8\% |
| 43 Masonic | 65.4\% |  |  | 70.5\% | 69.0\% | 61.8\% |  |  | 67.1\% |
| 44 O'Shaughnessy | 66.8\% |  |  | 60.9\% | 66.7\% | 70.2\% |  |  | 69.2\% |
| 47 Van Ness | 69.5\% |  |  | 73.5\% | 71.4\% | 54.3\% |  |  | 60.9\% |
| 48 Quintara-24th St | 76.5\% |  |  | 64.9\% | 68.2\% | 73.0\% |  |  | 63.2\% |
| 52 Excelsior | 100.0\% |  |  | 47.5\% | 72.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 65.7\% |
| 53 Southern Heights |  | 65.2\% | 85.3\% |  | 78.4\% |  | 96.5\% | 96.4\% |  |
| 54 Felton |  | 47.6\% |  | 46.0\% | 52.4\% |  | 77.9\% |  | 76.2\% |
| 56 Rutland |  | 85.7\% |  | 50.0\% | 68.0\% |  | 96.4\% |  | 80.0\% |
| 66 Quintara |  | 69.8\% | 82.7\% |  | 72.0\% |  | 82.1\% | 100.0\% |  |
| 67 Bernal Heights |  | 74.7\% | 71.7\% |  | 71.1\% |  | 80.8\% | 86.5\% |  |
| 71 Haight-Noriega / 71L Lim |  | 65.9\% | 61.2\% |  | 65.5\% |  | 92.4\% | 61.5\% |  |
| 80X Gateway Exp | 100.0\% |  |  | 100.0\% | 54.5\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 100.0\% |


|  | OTP 03 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | OTP 04 FY07 Apr-Jun 07 | OTP 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | OTP 02 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 | OTP <br> 6 Year Avg FY02-07 | Headway 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | Headway 04 FY07 Apr-Jun 07 | Headway 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Headway 02 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81X Caltrain Exp | 100.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% | 51.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 75.0\% |
| 82X Presidio \& Wharves Exp |  | 60.0\% | 100.0\% |  | 60.9\% |  | 85.7\% | 100.0\% |  |
| 88 BART Shuttle |  | 67.4\% |  | 50.0\% | 64.4\% |  | 59.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| 89 Laguna Honda | 63.2\% |  |  | 30.8\% | 55.1\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 88.9\% |
| 90 Owl |  |  | 69.2\% |  | 84.5\% |  |  | 100.0\% |  |
| 91 Owl |  |  |  | 63.2\% | 66.5\% |  |  |  | 88.2\% |
| 108 Treasure Island | 95.2\% |  | 74.3\% |  | 83.2\% | 98.2\% |  | 84.2\% |  |

A2 Scheduled service hours delivered
GOAL: >98.5\%
Purpose: To measure service hours through available operators and equipment deployed in revenue service, along with the percentage of equipment available for service.
Definition: Monthly measurement of the percent of total available hours for service measuring operators and equipment and percentage of equipment available daily.
Method: Both operators and equipment are measured as to the total number of hours in service as a percentage of the total scheduled hours. Data come from the online dispatching system. Measurement of the vehicles that begin service at the scheduled time will be provided from the 8am and 6pm "Not-Out Report" generated by Central Control and will show the percent of vehicles that went out at the scheduled time for both the AM and PM pullout.

| SYSTEMWIDE (FY02-FY08) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 95.4\% | 97.4\% | 96.3\% | 97.1\% | 93.7\% | 94.2\% | 95.2\% |
| 02 (Oct-Dec) | 95.7\% | 97.5\% | 97.1\% | 95.7\% | 95.4\% | 94.7\% | 96.1\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 96.2\% | 96.7\% | 98.1\% | 94.0\% | 94.8\% | 95.6\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 97.8\% | 94.5\% | 97.3\% | 94.3\% | 93.0\% | 92.5\% |  |
| Annual average | 96.3\% | 96.5\% | 97.2\% | 95.3\% | 94.2\% | 94.3\% |  |

## BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  | LRV Green | Cable Car | Trolley Coach <br> Potrero | Trolley Coach <br> Presidio | Motor <br> Coach <br> Flynn | Motor Coach <br> Kirkland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | LRV Green | Cable Car | Trolley Coach <br> Potrero | Trolley Coach <br> Presidio | Motor <br> Coach <br> Flynn | Motor Coach <br> Kirkland |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | $93.7 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ |  |
| C4 FY08 (Apr-Jun 07) | $85.3 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |  |
| C1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | $95.1 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | 96 |  |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | $97.3 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ |  |  |

## A2 Equipment available

SYSTEMWIDE (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 99.72\% | 99.92\% | 99.81\% | 99.87\% | 99.94\% | 99.87\% | 99.87\% |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 99.75\% | 99.87\% | 99.95\% | 99.72\% | 99.93\% | 99.80\% | 99.98\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 96.69\% | 99.97\% | 99.85\% | 99.79\% | 99.86\% | 99.90\% |  |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | 99.82\% | 99.90\% | 99.80\% | 99.82\% | 99.88\% | 99.92\% |  |
| Annual average | 99.00\% | 99.92\% | 99.85\% | 99.80\% | 99.90\% | 99.87\% |  |

A2 Equipment available continued

BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  | LRV Green | Cable Car | Trolley Coach <br> Potrero | Trolley Coach <br> Presidio | Motor <br> Coach <br> Flynn | Motor Coach <br> Kirkland | Motor <br> Coach <br> Woods |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | $99.96 \%$ | $99.96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99.96 \%$ | $99.82 \%$ | $99.76 \%$ | $99.83 \%$ |
| Q4 FY07 (Apr-Jun 07) | $99.87 \%$ | $99.96 \%$ | $99.90 \%$ | $99.97 \%$ | $99.91 \%$ | $99.90 \%$ | $99.80 \%$ |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | $99.97 \%$ | $99.96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99.97 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99.36 \%$ |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | $99.95 \%$ | $99.98 \%$ | $99.97 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99.98 \%$ | $99.98 \%$ | $99.99 \%$ |

A2 Operators available

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 95.7\% | 97.5\% | 96.5\% | 97.3\% | 93.7\% | 94.3\% | 95.4\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 96.0\% | 97.6\% | 97.2\% | 96.0\% | 95.4\% | 94.8\% | 96.1\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 96.7\% | 96.7\% | 98.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.7\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 98.0\% | 94.6\% | 97.5\% | 94.5\% | 93.1\% | 92.6\% |  |
| Annual average | 96.6\% | 96.6\% | 97.4\% | 95.5\% | 94.3\% | 94.4\% |  |

BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  | LRV Green | Cable Car | Trolley Coach <br> Potrero | Trolley Coach <br> Presidio | Motor <br> Coach <br> Flynn | Motor Coach <br> Kirkland |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | $93.7 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | Motor <br> Coach <br> Woods |  |  |
| Q4 FY07 (Apr-Jun 07) | $85.4 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | 9 | $94.3 \%$ |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | $95.1 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | $97.3 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |

A2
Late pull-outs: \% of scheduled/executed runs that were late
GOAL: <1.5\%
SYSTEMWIDE (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |  |
| Annual average | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |  |

A2
Late pull-outs continued
BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  | LRV Green | F Market | Cable Car | Trolley Coach Potrero | Trolley Coach Presidio | Motor Coach Flynn | Motor <br> Coach <br> Kirkland | Motor Coach Woods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% |
| Q4 FY07 (Apr-Jun 07) | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% |

A3 $\quad$ Pass-ups: \% of vehicles unable to pick up passengers due to crowding
GOAL: < 5\%
Purpose: To measure crowding in vehicles.
Definition: \% of vehicles that pass published time points during measurement periods unable to pick up passengers due to crowding without being followed within 3 minutes or less by another vehicle on the same route with space for all waiting passengers. Pass-up measurements are conducted at least 10 weekdays per month At the beginning of each quarter, supervisors review all lines checked in the previous quarter, and identify the five lines with the highest load factors, and the time period those load factors occurred. Supervisors check those five lines during the high load factor time period each month of the coming quarter. Supervisors also check to see if any Passenger Service Reports for pass-ups were made for the five lines, and if the location of the pass-up was recorded. If recorded, supervisors use that point as the point to check for pass-ups. If there are no locations recorded, supervisors use the stop before the maximum load point.
Method: Periods of time include morning rush (6am-9am), midday (9am-4pm), evening rush (4pm-7pm), and night (7pm-1am).

PASS-UP RATE (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 0.27\% | 2.07\% | 2.98\% | 0.22\% | 0.68\% | 0.58\% | 0.43\% |
| 02 (Oct-Dec) | 0.07\% | 7.15\% | 6.10\% | 0.18\% | 2.46\% | 0.00\% | 2.96\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 0.23\% | 0.18\% | 0.80\% | 0.55\% | 0.57\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 0.41\% | 1.61\% | 2.11\% | 0.43\% | 2.82\% | 2.69\% |  |
| Annual average | 0.33\% | 2.75\% | 3.17\% | 0.35\% | 1.63\% | 1.30\% |  |

PASS-UPS BY QUARTER (previous four quarters)

|  | O3 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | O4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Q1 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Pass-Ups | 0 | 15 | 12 |  |
| Total Checks | 27 | 557 | 462 |  |


| O3 FY07 | Q4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Q1 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total \% Pass-Ups | $0.00 \%$ | $2.69 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |  |
| Oct-Dec 07 |  |  |  |  |

A3 $\quad$ Pass-ups continued
GOAL: <5\%
PASS-UPS BY LINE/ROUTE (previous four quarters)

|  | 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | Q4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line/Route, Goal | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| N Judah Duboce/Church |  |  | 0.00\% AM inbound |  |
| 2 Clement Sutter/Powell | 0.00\% PM outbound |  |  |  |
| 5 Fulton McAllister/Van Ness | 0.00\% PM outbound | 3.01\% AM inbound |  | 6.54\% AM inbound |
| 9AX San Bruno 'A' Exp Bryant/6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St |  |  |  | 3.53\% PM outbound |
| 9BX San Bruno 'B' Exp Stockton/Sutter |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Folsom Pacific/Jones |  |  | 0.00\% AM outbound |  |
| 29 Sunset Geneva/Balboa Park BART |  |  | 0.00\% AM inbound | 0.00\% PM outbound |
| 31AX Balboa 'A' Exp Balboa/Park Presidio |  |  |  | 0.00\% AM inbound |
| 38L Geary Limited Geary/Leavenworth |  |  | 0.71\% PM outbound |  |
| 43 Masonic Geneva/Mission |  | 0.00\% AM inbound |  |  |
| 44 O'Shaughnessy Silver/Mission |  | 0.00\% AM inbound |  |  |
| 45 Union-Stockton Stockton/Sutter |  | 7.81\% PM outbound |  |  |
| 48 Quintara-24 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St $24^{\text {th }}$ St/Castro |  | 0.00\% AM inbound |  |  |
| 4 Van Ness-Mission Van Ness/McAllister |  |  |  | 2.20\% PM outbound |
| 71 Haight-Noriega / 71L Limited Haight/Gough |  |  | 2.27\% PM outbound |  |
| 88 BART Shuttle Geneva/Balboa Park BART | 0.00\% AM inbound |  |  |  |

A4 Load factors: \# of lines exceeding target load factor during peak periods
GOAL: reduce \# of lines over 85\% load factor
Purpose: To measure load factors at peak periods.
Definition: Each line is checked twice a year. Checks are conducted at least 10 weekdays and weekends per period. A checking schedule is established for the routes. The order in which the routes are checked is determined monthly through a random selection process. To the extent automated systems can be substituted at less cost for checks, or the measurement of any standard, such systems are used. The maximum target load factor is $85 \%$ of seating/standing capacity.
Method: Periods of time includes morning rush ( $6 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{am}$ ), midday ( $9 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ ) afternoon rush ( $4 \mathrm{pm}-7 \mathrm{pm}$ ), and night ( 7 pm -1am). Supervisors conduct a one-hour, on time, and load standard check at a maximum load point at mid-route during all four time periods stated above.

LINES EXCEEDING 85\% LOAD FACTOR - SYSTEMWIDE (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 4 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| 03 (Jan-Mar) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 4 |  |
| 04 (Apr-Jun) | 8 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 7 |  |

A4 Load factors continued
GOAL: \# of lines over 85\% load factor

LOAD FACTOR BY LINE

|  | 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | 02 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | 6 Yr Avg <br> FY02-FY07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Light Rail |  |  |  |  |  |
| F Market \& Wharves |  | 60.1\% |  | 86.0\% | 63.4\% |
| J Church | 26.7\% |  |  | 60.4\% | 56.3\% |
| K Ingleside / T Third | 32.7\% |  | 80.9\%* |  | 73.2\% |
| L Taraval |  | 84.7\% |  | 80.0\% | 71.5\% |
| M Oceanview | 55.0\% |  | 62.6\% |  | 67.5\% |
| N Judah |  | 88.1\% | 77.0\% |  | 75.0\% |
| Cable Car |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 Powell-Mason | 73.0\% |  |  | 47.4\% | 70.7\% |
| 60 Powell-Hyde |  | 92.2\% |  | 84.7\% | 89.4\% |
| 61 California St |  | 49.8\% | 86.3\% |  | 52.6\% |
| Trolley Coach |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 California |  | 85.0\% | 86.2\% |  | 80.4\% |
| 3 Jackson | 26.1\% |  | 58.7\% |  | 54.1\% |
| 4 Sutter | 67.5\% |  |  | 50.4\% | 51.3\% |
| 5 Fulton |  |  |  | 89.4\% | 77.6\% |
| 6 Parnassus |  | 74.8\% |  | 70.9\% | 59.1\% |
| 7 Haight | 45.0\% |  |  | 65.6\% | 55.3\% |
| 14 Mission |  | 67.0\% | 78.0\% |  | 63.3\% |
| 20 Columbus |  |  |  | 12.7\% | N/A |
| 21 Hayes |  | 82.9\% |  | 93.7\% | 72.7\% |
| 22 Fillmore |  | 68.4\% | 75.9\% |  | 72.1\% |
| 24 Divisadero |  | 76.8\% | 85.6\% |  | 75.2\% |


|  | O3 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | 6 Yr Avg <br> FY02-FY07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 Stockton |  |  |  |  | 89.3\% |
| 24 Divisadero |  | 66.1\% | 64.1\% |  | 55.6\% |
| 30 Stockton |  | 62.3\% | 55.1\% | 89.9\% | 51.7\% |
| 31 Balboa |  |  |  |  | 68.3\% |
| 33 Stanyan | 106.2\% |  |  |  | 87.0\% |
| 41 Union | 82.2\% |  | 110.7\% | 79.3\% | 72.6\% |
| 45 Union-Stockton |  | 85.0\% | 86.2\% | 93.7\% | 80.4\% |
| 49 Van Ness-Mission | 26.1\% |  | 58.7\% |  | 54.1\% |
| Motor Coach |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1AX California 'A' Exp |  | 70.8\% | 76.4\% |  | 74.6\% |
| 1BX California 'B' Exp |  |  | 64.6\% |  | 71.7\% |
| 2 Clement |  |  |  | 63.5\% | 66.7\% |
| 9 San Bruno | 73.2\% |  | 75.4\% |  | 63.6\% |
| 9AX San Bruno 'A' Exp |  | 75.5\% | 99.3\% |  | 88.0\% |
| 9BX San Bruno 'B' Exp |  |  | 109.9\% |  | 76.7\% |
| 9X San Bruno Exp |  | 45.7\% | 51.5\% |  | 69.1\% |
| 10 Townsend |  |  | 68.2\% |  | 49.6\% |
| 12 Folsom |  | 92.3\% | 75.6\% |  | 68.8\% |
| 14L Mission Limited |  | 54.9\% | 61.4\% |  | 49.9\% |
| 14X Mission Exp |  | 82.6\% |  | 72.3\% | 74.2\% |
| 16AX Noriega 'A' Exp |  | 67.3\% |  |  | 65.1\% |
| 16BX Noriega 'B' Exp |  | 72.3\% | 79.9\% | 61.6\% | 63.2\% |
| 17 Park Merced |  | 25.3\% | 28.3\% |  | 34.5\% |
| 18 46th Av |  | 46.6\% | 30.5\% |  | 41.3\% |
| 19 Polk |  | 75.9\% |  | 75.9\% | 60.7\% |
| 23 Monterey |  | 71.7\% |  | 34.0\% | 48.9\% |
| 26 Valencia |  | 27.3\% |  | 32.3\% | 41.5\% |
| 27 Bryant |  | 53.6\% | 82.7\% |  | 66.8\% |
| 28 19th Av | 64.4\% |  | 73.8\% |  | 77.7\% |
| 28L 19th Av Limited |  |  | 63.9\% |  | 50.7\% |
| 29 Sunset |  | 94.7\% | 104.6\% |  | 80.7\% |
| 30X Marina Exp | 62.9\% |  |  | 75.3\% | 78.6\% |
| 31AX Balboa 'A' Exp |  |  | 87.1\% |  | 76.8\% |
| 31BX Balboa 'B' Exp |  |  | 75.6\% |  | 71.9\% |
| 35 Eureka | 40.3\% |  |  | 48.1\% | 41.8\% |
| 36 Teresita | 16.9\% |  |  | 22.0\% | 36.0\% |


|  | 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | O4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Q1 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | 6 Yr Avg FY02-FY07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 Corbett | 41.0\% |  | 79.0\% |  | 63.9\% |
| 38 Geary |  | 87.3\% |  | 68.4\% | 67.3\% |
| 38AX Geary 'A' Exp | 82.4\% |  |  | 51.6\% | 69.6\% |
| 38BX Geary 'B' Exp |  | 79.0\% |  | 62.1\% | 73.8\% |
| 38L Geary Limited |  | 97.3\% | 84.0\% |  | 78.9\% |
| 39 Coit |  |  |  | 24.7\% | 23.6\% |
| 43 Masonic | 113.8\% |  |  | 94.0\% | 81.7\% |
| 44 O'Shaughnessy |  |  |  | 96.7\% | 80.9\% |
| 47 Van Ness |  |  |  | 61.0\% | 69.6\% |
| 48 Quintara-24th St | 83.4\% |  |  | 84.8\% | 68.5\% |
| 52 Excelsior |  |  |  | 45.6\% | 59.5\% |
| 53 Southern Heights |  | 49.6\% | 45.2\% |  | 48.4\% |
| 54 Felton |  | 72.4\% |  | 69.0\% | 76.0\% |
| 56 Rutland |  | 24.5\% |  | 16.3\% | 14.8\% |
| 66 Quintara |  | 26.4\% | 21.4\% |  | 49.7\% |
| 67 Bernal Heights |  | 35.2\% | 35.7\% |  | 54.5\% |
| 71 Haight-Noriega / 71L Limited |  | 92.4\% | 77.0\% |  | 73.2\% |
| 80X Gateway Exp | 64.6\% |  |  | 41.3\% | 52.0\% |
| 81X Caltrain Exp | 49.2\% |  |  | 64.0\% | 55.6\% |
| 82X Presidio \& Wharves Exp |  | 63.9\% | 64.7\% |  | 57.3\% |
| 88 BART Shuttle |  | 66.5\% |  | 89.3\% | 71.0\% |
| 89 Laguna Honda |  |  |  | 8.0\% | 19.3\% |
| 90 Owl |  |  | 10.8\% |  | 20.2\% |
| 91 Owl |  |  |  | 13.3\% | 17.8\% |
| 108 Treasure Island | 60.2\% |  | 80.7\% |  | 60.2\% |

A5 Vehicles available (AM/PM)
Purpose: To measure the percentage of equipment available for service
Definition: Measurement of availability as a percentage of vehicles at each facility available at 7am/4pm on non-holiday weekdays against peak demand requirements.
Method: The Shop History and Online Parts System (SHOPS) provides the data. A vehicle is considered available for service if it is available for assignment to an operator no later than 7am and 4pm.

|  |  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal |  | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% |
| 01 <br> Jul-Sep | AM | 99.1\% | 99.2\% | 98.9\% | 99.5\% | 98.2\% | 98.6\% | 99.96\% |
|  | PM | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 98.7\% | 98.9\% | 98.0\% | 98.2\% | 99.96\% |
| 02 <br> Oct-Dec | AM | 98.6\% | 99.6\% | 99.6\% | 99.2\% | 98.4\% | 98.7\% | 99.80\% |
|  | PM | 97.9\% | 99.7\% | 99.3\% | 97.5\% | 98.1\% | 98.8\% | 99.76\% |
| 03 <br> Jan-Mar | AM | 98.2\% | 99.9\% | 99.2\% | 98.5\% | 98.7\% | 99.3\% |  |
|  | PM | 99.0\% | 99.7\% | 99.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.6\% |  |
| 04 <br> Apr-Jun | AM | 99.2\% | 99.6\% | 99.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.4\% | 99.9\% |  |
|  | PM | 99.2\% | 99.4\% | 99.3\% | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.9\% |  |
| Annual average | AM | 98.8\% | 99.6\% | 99.3\% | 98.8\% | 98.4\% | 99.1\% |  |
|  | PM | 98.8\% | 99.4\% | 99.0\% | 97.9\% | 98.2\% | 99.1\% |  |

BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  |  | Rail Green LRV | Rail F Market | Rail Cable Car | Trolley Coach Potrero | Trolley Coach Presidio | Motor Coach Flynn | Motor Coach Kirkland | Motor Coach Woods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal |  | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% |
| 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | AM | 99.9\% | 98.9\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99.9\% | 100\% | 99.2\% | 98.5\% |
|  | PM | 99.7\% | 99.4\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98.7\% | 98.9\% |
| Q4 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | AM | 99.8\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99.9\% | 100\% | 99.9\% | 99.5\% |
|  | PM | 99.8\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99.9\% | 100\% | 99.9\% | 99.5\% |
| 01 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | AM | 99.8\% | 99.5\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | PM | 99.8\% | 99.4\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | \# days <100\% | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goal |  | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% |
| 02 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | AM | 100\% | 97.0\% | 100\% | 99.6\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99.7\% |
|  | PM | 99.9\% | 97.9\% | 100\% | 99.4\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99.6\% |
|  | \# days < 100\% | 5 | 22 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |

## A6 Unscheduled absences

GOAL: <10.7\% for transit operators, 5\% year over year improvement for all others
Purpose: To measure unscheduled absences.
Definition: Monthly measurement of unscheduled absences is defined as time that is not scheduled in advance and includes the following payroll categories: Sick pay (with pay), Sick Leave (without pay), AWOL, Worker's Comp, SDI, and Assault Pay.

Method: TESS and the Attendance Tracking System currently provide the data as a calculation of scheduled hours available against unscheduled hours for Municipal Railway employees. For DPT employees, data is extracted from the DETS system.

|  | Absen ces FY03 | Absen ces FY04 | Absenc es FY05 | Absenc es FY06 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Absenc } \\ \text { es } \\ \text { FY07 } \end{gathered}$ | Absen ces FY08 | 5 Yr Avg <br> FY03-FY07 | Absences O3 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | Absences O4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Absences Q1 FY08 Jul-Sep 07 | Absences 02 FY08 Oct-Dec 07 | FY08 Goal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPAL RAILWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.2\% | 5.8\% |  | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 5.8\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% |
| Maintenance | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 7.2\% | 6.5\% | 7.4\% |  | 6.6\% | 7.5\% | 7.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.1\% | 7.0\% |
| Operations | 7.2\% | 7.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% | 7.3\% |  | 6.9\% | 6.7\% | 7.2\% | 8.3\% | 7.8\% | 6.9\% |
| Transit Operators | 11.1\% | 10.3\% | 10.8\% | 11.9\% | 10.9\% |  | 11.0\% | 11.0\% | 10.3\% | 10.4\% | 11.4\% | 10.7\% |
| PARKING AND TRAFFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin | 4.8\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 4.3\% | 4.2\% |  | 4.7\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.0\% |
| Citations | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13.8\% | 7.8\% |  | N/A | 8.4\% | 10.3\% | 9.2\% | 7.6\% | 7.4\% |
| Crossing Guards | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4.1\% | 6.5\% | N/A |
| Enforcement | 17.6\% | 15.7\% | 17.1\% | 15.7\% | 16.5\% |  | 16.5\% | 17.4\% | 14.5\% | 15.8\% | 14.8\% | 15.7\% |
| Engineering | 5.8\% | 4.9\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | 5.8\% |  | 6.0\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% | 4.7\% | 3.5\% | 5.5\% |
| Shops | 9.8\% | 10.1\% | 8.8\% | 10.1\% | 11.7\% |  | 10.1\% | 13.3\% | 7.6\% | 7.5\% | 10.0\% | 11.1\% |

## TRANSIT OPERATORS - UNSCHEDULED ABSENCES BY DIVISION

|  | Rail Green | Rail Cable Car* | Trolley Coach <br> Potrero | Trolley Coach <br> Presidio | Motor Coach <br> Flynn | Motor Coach <br> Kirkland | Motor Coach <br> Woods |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | $12.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |  |
| O2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | $12.1 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |  |

*Includes conductors and gripmen.

## A7 Mean distance between failure (MBDF)

GOAL: Increased mileage between road calls (see detailed goals below)
Purpose: To measure reliability through the miles a vehicle travels between failures.
Definition: Monthly measurement is currently dictated by the Federal Transit Administration as follows: Failures are classified as either a major or minor failure of an element of the vehicle's mechanical system. For each incident of a major or minor failure, report whether the vehicle completes the trip or the vehicle does not complete the trip. If the failure occurs during deadhead or layover, include this in revenue vehicle system failures.
Method: Data is collected from the Central Control Log and the online SHOPS system. All verifiable major and minor mechanical defects are included as part of the
 body damage and broken windows.

|  | MDBF FY03 | MDBF FYO4 | MDBF FY05 | MDBF FY06 | MDBF FYO7 | MDBF FY08 | MDBF 03 FY07 Jan-Mar 07 | MDBF 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | MDBF 01 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | MDBF $\mathbf{Q 2}$ FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | MDBF FY08 Goal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Breda LRV | 3,328 | 3,162 | 3,112 | 1,943 | 4,001 |  | 4,304 | 4,833 | 4,609 | 5,204 | 4,000 |
| F Line | 1,309 | 1,065 | 1,167 | 940 | 1,582 |  | 1,328 | 1,682 | 2,199 | 1,861 | 1,300 |
| Cable Car | 5,658 | 5,814 | 5,586 | 5,638 | 5,924 |  | 6,225 | 5,666 | 4,950 | 5,284 | 6,000 |
| TROLLEY COACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potrero <br> Articulated | 541 | 724 | 770 | 785 | 893 |  | 969 | 882 | 807 | 753 | 1,000 |
| Potrero Standard | 762 | 926 | 902 | 1,004 | 1,377 |  | 1,533 | 1,480 | 1,587 | 1,439 | 1,500 |
| Presidio Standard | 1,279 | 1,235 | 1,239 | 1,121 | 1,477 |  | 1,407 | 1,900 | 1,862 | 1,882 | 1,500 |
| MOTOR COACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flynn Articulated | 2,219 | 2,519 | 3,309 | 3,093 | 2,398 |  | 2,081 | 2,893 | 3,540 | 2,912 | 3,100 |
| Kirkland Standard | 2,918 | 3,098 | 2,970 | 3,251 | 3,094 |  | 3,028 | 3,840 | 3,662 | 3,553 | 3,100 |
| Woods Standard | 2,176 | 2,502 | 3,337 | 2,636 | 2,533 |  | 2,225 | 2,879 | 2,980 | 3,649 | 3,100 |

## A8 Vacancy rate for service critical positions

Purpose: To measure efficiency level of the department in hiring.
Definition: Monthly measurement of net vacancies against budgeted positions for Operations personnel.
Method: Monthly measurement of net vacancies against budgeted positions for Operations personnel. Calculated based on vacancies remaining once promotions and new hires have been deducted from retirees or resignations.

## VACANCY RATE (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |  |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $4.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | $4.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | $4.2 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  |  |


| $\mathbf{0 4}$ (Apr-Jun) | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## VACANCY RATE BY DIVISION (previous four quarters)

|  | Budgeted <br> Positions | Q3 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | Q4 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Q1 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 <br> Oct-Dec 07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transit Operators | 2,036 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | FY08 Goal | $0.0 \%$ |
| Crafts | 929 | $7.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |  |
| Maintenance | 266 | $8.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |  |

## A9 Traffic and parking control requests: \% investigated and responded to within 90 days

Purpose: To measure responsiveness to the public.
Definition: Each request is logged into an electronic database system and given a tracking number. Requests are then assigned to staff for investigation, which can include evaluation of existing conditions, collision history, traffic and pedestrian volume, circulation, and transit impact. Residents are notified of investigation results and recommendations. The request is then logged as completed.
Method: Using the existing database system, a report is generated to provide a response rate for all requests completed within a specific quarter.

| RESPONSE RATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 70\% | 75\% | 80\% | 80\% | 82\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 75\% | 89\% | 84\% | 89\% | 92\% |
| 02 (Oct-Dec) | 81\% | 85\% | 84\% | 87\% | 84\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 70\% | 80\% | 80\% | 77\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 82\% | 81\% | 76\% | 87\% |  |
| Annual average | 77\% | 84\% | 81\% | 85\% |  |
| A10 Color curb applications: \% reviewed and responded to within 30 days ${ }^{\text {a }}$ GOAL: $>90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Purpose: To measure responsiveness to the public.

Definition: Residents, organizations, and business owners may apply for various color curb parking designations as authorized by the California Vehicle Code. These zones include loading zones (white), green zones (ten-minute parking), and red zones (driveway tip prohibited parking). This program administered by DPT is fully cost recovery. Upon receipt of application and fee, each request is logged into an electronic database system and given a tracking number. Requests are assigned to staff for investigation which includes an on-site survey to determine feasibility, necessity, and parking impact. Once the investigation is completed, the
resident is notified in writing. If approved, an invoice is sent for painting fees. The request is then logged as completed.
Method: Using the existing database system, a report is generated to provide a response rate for all requests completed within a specific quarter.

## RESPONSE RATE

|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ |  |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $25 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $51 \%$ |  |
| O2 (Oct-Dec) | $35 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | $84 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $94 \%$ |  |

## A11 Parking meter malfunction reports: \% responded to within 48 hours

GOAL: >85\%
Purpose: To ensure consistent operation of parking meters and promptly repair inoperable meters.
Definition: Electronic parking meters are capable of self-reporting malfunctions. In addition, a hotline number is posted on each meter to enable members of the public to report instances of malfunction directly to the meter shop. These reporting mechanisms enable DPT to respond and repair meters in a timely and efficient manner to ensure the highest level of service to the public.
Method: The San Francisco Parking Meter Management System (SFPM) is a work order system which automates requests for service and allows them to be tracked and compiled. A report is generated providing the average response rate for all complaints received within a quarter.

| RESPONSE RATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 80\% | 80\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 58\% | 79\% | 72\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 71\% | 82\% | 83\% | 81\% | 87\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 76\% | 81\% | 78\% | 81\% |  |
| 04 (Apr-Jun) | 75\% | 80\% | 86\% | 85\% |  |
| Annual average | 70\% | 81\% | 80\% | 83\% |  |

A12 Hazardous traffic sign reports: \% responded to and repaired within 24 hours

Purpose: To ensure the safety of all modes of transportation by responding quickly to complaints of hazardous traffic sign conditions.
Definition: The Sign Shop receives reports of hazardous sign conditions from city agencies and members of the public. Hazardous conditions include missing safety related signs or those that create physical public danger due to damage or disrepair. Staff maintains a manual log to record receipt of complaints and dispatches repair crews immediately.
Method: Sign Shop staff manually logs in each complaint and the date and time that the work is completed. DPT plans on upgrading this manual record keeping process to an electronic database system in the future.

RESPONSE RATE

|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ |  |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | N/A | $98 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | $93 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ |  |

## A13 Hazardous traffic signal reports: \% responded to and repaired within 2 hours

Purpose: To ensure the safety of all modes of transportation by responding quickly to complaints of hazardous traffic signal conditions.
Definition: During business hours, the Signal Shop enters malfunctions in a manual log and dispatches crews. During other hours, calls are routed to the 24-hour hotline which logs the call and dispatches staff from the Department of Telecommunications and Information Systems (DTIS). If the problem is major and urgent, DTIS pages a Signal Shop emergency crew to the scene. Repair crews record their arrival time and the time the call is completed.
Method: All complaints and service requests are maintained in a database system. Reports are generated to determine average response rate.

RESPONSE RATE

|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 92\% | 91\% | 92\% | 93\% | 95\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 94\% | 92\% | 93\% | 90\% | 96\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 93\% | 94\% | 91\% | 88\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 90\% | 93\% | 91\% | 93\% |  |
| Annual average | 92\% | 93\% | 92\% | 91\% |  |

Purpose: To ensure the safety of all modes of transportation by maintaining visibility of existing lane line, bus zone, and crosswalk designations.
Definition: The Paint Shop's productivity is measured in relationship to annual goal. This measurement has been adjusted from a percentage of goal to a percentage of total inventory maintained.
Method: Work crews report actual daily production numbers to staff at the end of each day. This information is entered into a spreadsheet and tabulated to generate a report.

| \% MAINTAINED (quarterly statistics have been annualized) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $11 \%$ | 18\% | 13.9\% | 10.9\% | 18.6\% |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 13\% | 10\% | 13.1\% | 9.9\% | 15.6\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 10\% | 13\% | 8.4\% | 20.3\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 10\% | 21\% | 13.5\% | 12.0\% |  |
| Annual average | 11\% | 15\% | 12.2\% | 13.2\% |  |

## B Financial Stability (annually reported standards)

## B1 Passengers carried*

GOAL: 1.5\% increase to 209,556,000
Purpose: To measure ridership.
Definition: Annual measurement of the number of passengers who board the Municipal Railway's revenue vehicles. A passenger is counted each time they board a vehicle, even though they may be on the same journey from origin to destination
Method: Ride checkers are utilized to count passenger boardings.

RIDERSHIP BY MODE IN THOUSANDS OF PASSENGERS

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \text { increase to } \\ 239,611 \end{gathered}$ | 224,000 | 224,000 | 1.5\% increase to 218,979 | 1.5\% increase to 220,172 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.5\% increase } \\ & \text { to } 214,011 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5\% increase to 209,556* |
| Motor Coach | 92,259 | 90,881 | 87,472 | 88,209 | 90,630 | 90,303 | Results will be available in Autumn 2008. |
| Trolley Coach | 73,968 | 74,399 | 75,216 | 74,941 | 69,065 | 67,297 |  |
| Light Rail | 44,976 | 42,896 | 45,187 | 46,803 | 43,679 | 41,737 |  |
| Cable Car | 7,258 | 7,419 | 7,869 | 6,966 | 7,475 | 7,122 |  |
| Annual Total | 218,462 | 215,595 | 215,744 | 216,918 | 210,848 | 206,459 |  |

 during the current fiscal year, in part due to service changes put into effect on June 30, 2007.

## B2 Fare revenue

GOAL: $1.5 \%$ increase to $\$ 145,053,000$
Purpose: To measure fare revenue by average fare by passenger, mode, and general Fast Pass sales.
Definition: Fare revenue collection on board revenue vehicles; Monthly/Weekly Fast Pass sales; individual ticket sales at POP stations; 1, 3 and 7 day pass sales; Cable Car Souvenir Tickets, Bart Plus, Tokens' Adult/Youth/Senior Passes; Ballpark and Special Event Passes; Regional Passes, etc. The goal is not applicable in years when a fare increase occurs.
Method: Cash fares are collected electronically on board all revenue vehicles (with the exception of Cable Car), utilizing the Cubic Farebox system. In Cable Cars, a manual fare collection system along with sale of special passes is utilized. POP stations sell tickets on the platform.

CASH FARE SUMMARY IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | \$1,600 increase | \$100,000 | \$117,000 | 1.5\% increase to \$117,271 | 1.5\% increase to \$117,271 | \$130,000 | 1.5\% increase to \$145,053 |
| Motor Coach | \$14,080 | \$14,040 | \$15,578 | \$16,504 | \$18,705 | \$18,017 | Results will be available in Autumn 2008. |
| Trolley Coach | \$12,105 | \$12,250 | \$14,061 | \$14,743 | \$15,903 | \$15,452 |  |
| Light Rail | \$8,300 | \$7,910 | \$9,488 | \$11,405 | \$13,306 | \$13,831 |  |
| Cable Car | \$11,046 | \$11,008 | \$15,446 | \$16,207 | \$20,244 | \$22,347 |  |
| Fast Passes | \$45,659 | \$44,818 | \$53,171 | \$52,645 | \$61,798 | \$67,259 |  |
| Other Fare Media | \$5,958 | \$6,255 | \$6,498 | \$7,285 | \$4,865 | \$4,527 |  |
| Paratransit | \$1,010 | \$1,071 | \$1,271 | \$1,375 | \$1,411 | \$1,475 |  |
| Charter | \$24 | \$16 | \$23 | \$20 | \$2 | \$1 |  |
| Annual Total | \$98,182 | \$97,368 | \$115,538 | \$120,184 | \$136,234 | \$142,909 |  |

Farebox performance: Average fare per passenger based on unlinked passenger trips*

| AVERAGE FARE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |
|  | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 0.69$ |
| Including all modes | $\$ 0.49$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.60$ |
| Excluding Cable Cars <br> Excluding Cable Cars and <br> payment to BART for fast <br> pass holders | $\$ 0.45$ | $\$ 0.53$ | $\$ 0.54$ |

*Please see note on page 19.
B3 Cost efficiency: Fully allocated service cost by mode
Purpose: To measure the cost of producing revenue service by fully allocated costs per hour of service by passenger mile and mode.
Definition: Fully allocated cost of service per hour and per mile.
Method: Data is reported to the Board on an annual basis based on fully allocated costs per hour of service by mode.

SYSTEMWIDE COST PER HOUR

|  | FY05 | FY06 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Operations | $\$ 71.93$ | $\$ 78.06$ |  |
| Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 28.06$ | $\$ 30.95$ | $\$ 82.76$ |
| Non-Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 10.10$ | $\$ 10.60$ | $\$ 36.85$ |
| General and Administrative | $\$ 31.82$ | $\$ 30.23$ | $\$ 11.67$ |
| Total Hourly Rate | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 1 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 9 . 8 5}$ | $\$ 30.69$ |
| $\mathbf{l n y y}$ |  |  |  |


| LRV COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |
| Vehicle Operations | \$58.62 | \$59.52 | \$67.39 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | \$68.45 | \$72.07 | \$85.58 |
| Non-Vehicle Maintenance | \$20.35 | \$21.61 | \$23.96 |
| General and Administrative | \$40.52 | \$37.72 | \$39.15 |


| Total Hourly Rate | \$187.94 | \$190.92 | \$216.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CABLE CAR COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
|  | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |
| Vehicle Operations | \$146.49 | \$148.56 | \$149.67 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | \$40.78 | \$35.36 | \$38.78 |
| Non-Vehicle Maintenance | \$72.37 | \$66.00 | \$73.40 |
| General and Administrative | \$52.49 | \$45.95 | \$46.70 |
| Total Hourly Rate | \$312.13 | \$295.88 | \$308.55 |

TROLLEY COACH COST PER HOUR

|  | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Operations | $\$ 66.92$ | $\$ 72.57$ | $\$ 75.39$ |
| Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 15.19$ | $\$ 18.26$ |  |
| Non-Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 8.09$ | $\$ 9.07$ | $\$ 19.96$ |
| General and Administrative | $\$ 27.10$ | $\$ 26.05$ | $\$ 9.82$ |
| Total Hourly Rate | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 7 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 . 9 4}$ | $\$ 25.71$ |


| MOTOR COACH COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY05 | FY06 |  |
| Vehicle Operations | $\$ 74.12$ | $\$ 82.87$ | FY07 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 20.13$ | $\$ 22.24$ | $\$ 87.23$ |
| Non-Vehicle Maintenance | $\$ 2.04$ | $\$ 1.81$ | $\$ 27.89$ |
| General and Administrative | $\$ 29.91$ | $\$ 28.53$ | $\$ 1.42$ |
| Total Hourly Rate | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 6 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 5 . 4 5}$ | $\$ 28.90$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 5 . 4 4}$ |  |  |  |

## COST PER PASSENGER MILE

|  | FY06 Operating <br> expenses <br> (in $\$ 000$ s) | FY06 Passenger <br> miles <br> (in 000s) | FY06 Cost per <br> passenger mile | FY07 Operating <br> expenses <br> (in $\$ 000$ s) | FY07 Passenger <br> miles <br> (in 000s) | FY07 Cost per <br> passenger mile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Light Rail | $\$ 106,708$ | 107,311 | $\$ 0.99$ | $\$ 123,618$ | 106,543 | $\$ 1.16$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cable Car | $\$ 39,934$ | 8,443 | $\$ 4.73$ | $\$ 44,014$ | $\$, 163$ |  |
| Trolley Coach | $\$ 119,068$ | 101,949 | $\$ 1.17$ | $\$ 122,598$ | 98,657 |  |
| Motor Coach | $\$ 188,461$ | 195,139 | $\$ 0.97$ | $\$ 200,186$ | 198,255 |  |
| Systemwide | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 4 , 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2 , 8 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 0 , 4 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 1 , 6 1 9}$ | $\$ 1.01$ |

B4 $\quad$ Productivity: Average \# of passenger boardings per revenue service hour
B5 Cost effectiveness: Operating expense per passenger boarding

|  | FY07 \# of p(9fsococir | FY07 Revenue seyricedosyrs | FY07 Boardings ner revenue | FY07 Operating <br> (fKP\&OESS) | FY07 \# of p(ays soocelr | FY07 Operating expense per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Light Rail | boarálings | 572 | 73 | \$123,618 | boaraing | \$2.96 |
| Cable Car | 7,122 | 143 | 50 | \$44,014 | 7,122 | \$6.18 |
| Trolley Coach | 67,297 | 937 | 72 | \$122,598 | 67,297 | \$1.82 |
| Motor Coach | 90,303 | 1,376 | 66 | \$200,186 | 90,303 | \$2.22 |
| Systemwide | 206,459 | 3,028 | 68 | \$490,416 | 206,459 | \$2.38 |

C Customer Focus

## C1 Overall customer satisfaction

GOAL: Year over year improvement

## ANNUAL RIDER SURVEY

Overall Customer Satisfaction

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent/Good | $65 \%$ | $53 \%$ | Results will be available in the first half |  |
| of 2008. |  |  |  |  |
| Fair/Poor | $35 \%$ | $47 \%$ |  |  |



| Excellent/Good | 60\% | 56\% | Results will be available in the first half of 2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair/Poor | 40\% | 44\% |  |
| Communication with Riders |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Excellent/Good | 49\% | 40\% | Results will be available in the first half of 2008. |
| Fair/Poor | 51\% | 60\% |  |
| Vehicle Cleanliness |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Excellent/Good | 48\% | 46\% | Results will be available in the first half of 2008. |
| Fair/Poor | 52\% | 54\% |  |

## VEHICLE CLEANLINESS: QUARTERLY FLEET ASSESSMENT RESULTS

|  | Q3 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | Q4 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | Q1 FY08 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | $76 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |
| Exterior | $77 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $78 \%$ |  |
| Interior | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |  |
| Graffiti | $77 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |
| Window | $71 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ |  |

## C2 Operator conduct complaints and resolution

GOAL: >75\% resolved within 30 days
Purpose: To measure customer satisfaction with the Municipal Railway and the effectiveness of internal processes to address the complaints.
Definition: SFMTA summarizes complaints received, resolved, and outstanding on a quarterly basis.
Method: Data provided from the Passenger Service Report Unit and will be reported to the Board on a quarterly basis.
P PASSENGER SERVICE REPORTS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |


| O2 (Oct-Dec) | 3,881 | 3,167 | 2,568 | 2,824 | 3,745 | 3,705 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O3 (Jan-Mar) | 3,440 | 3,056 | 2,577 | 3,230 | 3,783 | 3,875 |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | 3,043 | 3,116 | 2,378 | 2,991 | 3,979 |  |
| Annual total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 6 1 9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | PSRs FY02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSRs } \\ & \text { FY03 } \end{aligned}$ | PSRs FY04 | PSRs FY05 | PSRs FY06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSRs } \\ & \text { FY07 } \end{aligned}$ | PSRs Q1 FY08* <br> Jul-Sep 07 | PSRs 02 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 | 03 | 04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operator complaints requiring follow-up | 2,749 | 2,211 | 2,052 | 2,461 | 2,846 | 2,593 | 1,013 | 1,192 |  |  |
| Other operator complaints | 4,778 | 5,087 | 4,135 | 4,290 | 5,242 | 7,043 | 3,097 | 3,059 |  |  |
| Service | 3,861 | 3,107 | 2,716 | 2,782 | 4,637 | 7,457 | 2,571 | 2,227 |  |  |
| Vehicle | 305 | 283 | 276 | 164 | 265 | 231 | 185 | 319 |  |  |
| ADA | 571 | 572 | 341 | 649 | 688 | 748 | 399 | 476 |  |  |
| Criminal activity | 457 | 433 | 361 | 355 | 340 | 327 | 156 | 135 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 652 | 1,296 | 490 | 964 | 1,137 | 2,220 | 1,300 | 778 |  |  |
| Annual total | 13,373 | 12,989 | 10,371 | 11,665 | 15,155 | 20,619 | 8,721 | 8,186 |  |  |

## OPERATOR COMPLAINTS REOUIRING FOLLOW UP - RESOLUTION RATE**

|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |  |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $57 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | $60 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $59 \%$ |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | $80 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | $88 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $65 \%$ |  |

*Amended
**ADA related passenger service reports are used to calculate this resolution rate. All others are forwarded to Divisions for resolution.

Purpose: To reduce accidents through effective operator training programs as well as effective accident follow-up training.

Definition: Monthly measurement of the number of training hours by type of class. Training hours are tracked for the following areas: New Operator Training, Immediate Follow-up Rides, One/Two Day Accident Retraining, Verification of Transit Training, Operator Refresher, and Passenger Relations/Conflict Training.
Method: Number of reportable accidents and training hours. Data are reported to the Board on a quarterly basis.
\# OF TRAINING HOURS (FY02-FY08)

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 37,793 | 26,479 | 24,252 | 4,331 | 11,289 | 17,148 | 23,970 |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 29,720 | 18,631 | 15,453 | 11,820 | 17,603 | 32,000 | 19,561 |
| O3 (Jan-Mar) | 38,454 | 14,938 | 17,467 | 10,154 | 10,579 | 26,549 |  |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | 23,802 | 22,051 | 8,599 | 8,159 | 9,919 | 24,885 |  |
| Annual total | $\mathbf{1 2 9 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 5 8 2}$ |  |

\# OF TRAINING HOURS BY TYPE (previous four quarters)

| Training Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q3 FY07 } \\ \text { Jan-Mar } 07 \end{gathered}$ | 04 FY07 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | Q2 FY08 <br> Oct-Dec 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9139 Training | 1,184 | 1,168 | 608 | 1,664 |
| Accident Retraining | 72 | 592 | 608 | 608 |
| Class B Training + Operator Returning to Platform Training | 0 | 112 | 80 | 1,272 |
| Follow-ups | 335 | 234 | 45 | 372 |
| General Sign-up Training | 2,506 | 192 | 0 | 0 |
| Line Trainer Training | 192 | 0 | 80 | 0 |
| New Operator Training | 10,944 | 9,272 | 11,520 | 8,256 |
| Non-Revenue Driver's Training | 58 | 816 | 0 | 0 |
| Operator Refresher Training (ORP) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rail Accident Re-Training | 24 | 72 | 220 | 272 |
| Rail Compliance Checks | 259 | 141 | 252 | 132 |
| Rail Follow-ups | 109 | 166 | 42 | 338 |
| Rail General Sign-up Training | 4,528 | 8,096 | 7112 | 3,640 |
| Rail Line Trainer Training | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| Rail Operator Refresher Course | 92 | 120 | 200 | 246 |
| Rail Special Training (Training Instructor \& Brookville) | 2,240 | 1,002 | 24 | 98 |
| Re-Qualifications | 72 | 120 | 528 | 224 |


| Rubber Tire Re-qualifications | 335 | 206 | 227 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verification of Transit Training (VTT) | 3,600 | 2,576 | 2,384 |  |
| C4 $\quad$ Passenger and vehicle accidents | GOAL: $5 \%$ annual reduction to 2,172 |  |  |  |

Purpose: To reduce accidents through effective operator training programs as well as effective accident follow-up training.
Definition: Track reduction in accidents as a result of more effective operator training and accident retraining.
Method: Number of reportable accidents. Data will be reported to the Board on a quarterly basis.

| \# OF PASSENGER AND VEHICLE ACCIDENTS* (FY02-FY08) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 2,891 | 2,767 | 2,818 | 2,826 | 2,315 | 2,286 | 2,172 |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 749 | 883 | 805 | 687 | 596 | 731 | 709 |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 697 | 797 | 801 | 596 | 603 | 636 | 709 |
| 03 (Jan-Mar) | 736 | 682 | 771 | 579 | 673 | 582 |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 731 | 604 | 598 | 575 | 638 | 634 |  |
| Annual total | 2,913 | 2,966 | 2,975 | 2,437 | 2,510 | 2,583 |  |

\# OF PASSENGER AND VEHICLE ACCIDENTS BY TYPE

|  | Collisions [fatalities] | Passenger <br> accidents On <br> Board | Passenger <br> accidents <br> Stations | Dewirements |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derailments |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 FY07 (Jan-Mar 07) | $359[2]$ | 180 | 18 | 19 |
| Q4 FY07 (Apr-Jun 07) | $381[1]$ | 179 | 31 |  |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | $431[2]$ | 215 | 37 |  |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | $442[2]$ | 198 | 35 |  |

## \# OF PASSENGER AND VEHICLE ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 VEHICLE MILES**

|  | Collisions Bus | Collisions Rail | Passenger Accidents Bus | Passenger Accidents Rail |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 FY07 (Jul-Sep 06) | 7.27 | 4.70 | 3.61 | 2.81 |


| Q2 FY07 (Oct-Dec 06) | 6.84 | 2.80 | 2.81 | 2.59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q1 FY08 (Jul-Sep 07) | 6.71 | 4.37 | 3.01 |  |
| Q2 FY08 (Oct-Dec 07) | 6.62 | 5.34 | 3.03 |  |

 from quarter to quarter.
**Data for top 10\% of operators will be reported on annual basis for FY08.

## C5

Security incidents
GOAL: 5\% annual reduction to 1,076 (excluding fare evasions)
Purpose: To measure security incidents on transit vehicles and in facilities.
Definition: All categories of crime incidents are reported by category on a quarterly basis.
Method: Data is collected daily by Security and Enforcement. Data will be reported to the Board on a quarterly basis.

## \# OF SECURITY INCIDENTS EXCLUDING FARE EVASION

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{2 , 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 5 5}$ |  |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 645 | 555 | 550 | 604 | 545 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ |  |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 673 | 535 | 590 | 554 | 582 | 286 |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 624 | 640 | 576 | 618 | 271 |  |  |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | 697 | 648 | 555 | 623 | 267 |  |  |
| Annual total | $\mathbf{2 , 6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 5 8}$ |  |  |

\# OF SECURITY INCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER BOARDINGS

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual total | 1.21 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 1.11 | 0.98 | 0.55 |  |


| \# OF FARE EVASIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2,476 | 1,414 | 3,143 | 6,701 |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2,058 | 1,740 | 2,274 | 5,435 |


| O3 (Jan-Mar) | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 5}$ | 2,795 | 5,458 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O4 (Apr-Jun) | 7 | 5 | 8 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 2 8}$ | 3,068 | 4,759 |  |
| Annual total | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 6 3 4}$ |  |

 newly added incident types were not included in totals shown above, but are included on the following page.

## \# OF SECURITY INCIDENTS BY CATEGORY

| CATEGORY | 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | 04 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{Q 2} \\ \text { Oct-Dec } 07 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part I Crimes (Violent) |  |  |  |  |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rape | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Robbery | 33 | 35 | 46 | 38 |
| Aggravated Assault | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| Part I Crimes (Property) |  |  |  |  |
| Burglary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Larceny/Theft | 139 | 148 | 141 | 104 |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Arson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Part II Crimes |  |  |  |  |
| Other Assault | 51 | 73 | 27 | 36 |
| Malicious Mischief | 9 | 11 | 18 | 21 |
| Weapons | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Sex Offenses | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Disorderly Conduct | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Drunkenness | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Miscellaneous | 20 | 17 | Re-assigned to new reporting categories in FY08. |  |
| TOTALS | 269 | 297 | 248 | 217 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Security Incidents | 03 FY07 <br> Jan-Mar 07 | 04 <br> Apr-Jun 07 | 01 FY08 <br> Jul-Sep 07 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{Q 2} \\ \text { Oct-Dec } 07 \end{gathered}$ |
| Threats |  |  | 15 | 22 |
| Disturbances |  |  | 17 | 21 |


| Graffiti/Vandalism |  |  | 64 | 58 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 37 | 64 |
| TOTALS | NA | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ |

*The security incident reporting methodology has been updated to include additional categories under "Other Security Incidents". This section encompasses all security incidents not resulting an SFPD report. Previously, these incidents were not included in the Service Standards Reports.

## C6 Abandoned automobile reports: \% responded to within 48 hours

Purpose: To abate quality of life nuisances and hazards associated with abandoned automobiles.
Definition: Measures response time from receipt of complaint by Security and Enforcement's Abandoned Auto Detail to vehicle being marked for removal.
Method: The Detail maintains a manual log of complaints received and resolution. Staff compiles the information and generates a report.

| RESPONSE RATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 87\% | 95\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 86\% | 89\% | 92\% | 99\% | 98\% |
| 02 (Oct-Dec) | 95\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 92\% | 92\% | 95\% | 99\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 76\% | 93\% | 95\% | 99\% |  |
| Annual average | 87\% | 94\% | 95\% | 99\% |  |

## C7 Walk-in citation and residential parking permit customers: \% served within 20 minutes

Purpose: To provide a high level of customer service at our customer service center.
Definition: Percent of customers receiving service from the window clerk within 20 minutes of arrival.
Method: Staff utilizes a card system to track and record customer waiting times.

AVERAGE WAIT TIME

|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q 1}$ (Jul-Sep) | 46 min | 7 min | 6 min |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 11 min | 7 min | 6 min |
| $\mathbf{Q 3}$ (Jan-Mar) | 12 min | 8 min |  |


| 04 (Apr-Jun) | 9 min | 6 min |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual average | 20 min | 7 min |  |
| \% OF CUSTOMERS SERVED WITHIN 20 MINUTES |  |  |  |
|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 41\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 94\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| $\mathbf{Q 3}$ (Jan-Mar) | 74\% | 90\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 90\% | 94\% |  |
| Annual average | 75\% | 93\% |  |

## C8 Administrative citation hearing customers: \% served within 10 minutes

Purpose: To provide a high level of customer service at our customer service center.
Definition: Administrative citation hearings are second level protests of vehicle tows, parking citations, and other infractions. The average waiting time is the time between the hearing request being recorded by a window staff and fulfillment of request by a Hearing Officer

Method: Monthly reports generated by Hearing Division computer system.

AVERAGE WAIT TIME

|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 13 min | 10 min | 8 min |
| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | 10 min | 8 min | 6 min |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 15 min | 8 min | 8 min |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 12 min | $\mathbf{9 ~ m i n}$ |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{2 0 ~ m i n}$ |  |  |

\% OF CUSTOMERS SERVED WITHIN 10 MINUTES

|  | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $83 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{Q 2}$ (Oct-Dec) | $43 \%$ | $68 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q 3}$ (Jan-Mar) | $48 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{Q 4}$ (Apr-Jun) | $59 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |
| Annual average | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ |  |

C9 $\quad$ Residential parking permit renewals: \% of applications returned to residents within 21 days
Purpose: To improve the level of customer service by ensuring prompt response to by-mail renewal residential parking permit applications.
Definition: Percent of renewal permit applications returned to residents within 21 days of receipt.
Method: Electronic report generated by DPT contractor overseeing the program

| RESPONSE RATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Goal | N/A | 90\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 98\% | 94\% | 87\% | 93\% | 94\% |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 98\% | 95\% | 92\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 86\% | 61\% | 95\% | 96\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 83\% | N/A | 93\% | 90\% |  |
| Annual average | 91\% | $51 \%$ | 92\% | 94\% |  |

D Employee Satisfaction

## D1 Grievances

Purpose: To record and monitor the status of all grievances.
Definition: Quarterly reports include the number of new grievances (filed, resolved, and active).
Method: An internal tracking system is used to provide data for the Board on a quarterly basis.

| \# OF TRANSIT OPERATOR GRIEVANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| Q1 (Jul-Sep) | 7 | 23 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 22 |
| Q2 (Oct-Dec) | 14 | 13 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 30 | 17 |
| 03 (Jan-Mar) | 32 | 14 | 14 | 20 | 9 | 17 |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 64 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 9 |  |
| Annual total | 117 | 60 | 64 | 59 | 42 | 66 |  |

## \# OF MAINTENANCE/MISCELLANEOUS EMPLOYEE GRIEVANCES

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 (Jul-Sep) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 10 |  |  |
| O2 (Oct-Dec) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 9 |  |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 10 |  |
| Annual total | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |


| D2 | Grievance resolution rate: $\%$ of operator grievances resolved within 90 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Purpose: To measure the effectiveness of the Labor Relations in the resolution of grievances. |  |
| Definition: Monthly measurement of the resolution of grievances. |  |
| Method: An internal tracking system is used to provide data for the Board on a quarterly basis. |  |

RESOLUTION RATE

|  | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | FY08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | 75\% in 30 days | 75\% in 30 days | 75\% in 30 days | 75\% in 30 days | 75\% in 30 days | 75\% in 45 days | 90\% in 90 days |
| 01 (Jul-Sep) | 80\% | 86\% | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 82\% | 100\% |
| 02 (Oct-Dec) | 82\% | 86\% | 90\% | 91\% | 86\% | 100\% | 94\% |
| Q3 (Jan-Mar) | 100\% | 93\% | 91\% | 93\% | 80\% | 100\% |  |
| Q4 (Apr-Jun) | 93\% | 88\% | 75\% | 83\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Annual average | 89\% | 88\% | 86\% | 92\% | 92\% | 96\% |  |


| ANNUAL EMPLOYEE SURVEY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working relationship with supervisor |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Excellent/Good | 63\% | 72\% | 71\% | Results will be available in the |
| Fair/Poor | 37\% | 28\% | 29\% | first half of 2008. |
| Communication within division |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Excellent/Good | 52\% | 60\% | 55\% | Results will be available in the |
| Fair/Poor | 48\% | 40\% | 45\% | first half of 2008. |
| Work effort appreciated by SFMTA management |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Very/Somewhat Appreciated | 52\% | 59\% | 57\% |  |
| Not Very/Not at All Appreciated | 43\% | 34\% | 35\% | Results will be available in the first half of 2008. |
| No Answer | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Work effort appreciated by public |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Very/Somewhat Appreciated | 68\% | 68\% | 69\% |  |
| Not Very/Not at All Appreciated | 25\% | 22\% | 29\% | Results will be available in the first half of 2008. |
| No Answer | 7\% | 10\% | 2\% |  |

